containing not less than 20 percent of crude protein and not more than 22 percent of crude fiber, which the product was represented to be. Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statements "20% Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal * * * Guaranteed Analysis Crude protein, not less than ____ 20.0%" and "Crude Fiber, not more than ____ 22.0%" were false and misleading.

Bremco Alfalfa Meal. Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a product containing less than 13 percent of protein had been substituted for alfalfa meal containing not less than 13 percent of protein, which the product was represented to be; and, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Alfalfa Meal Protein, not less than ___ 13.0%" was false and misleading.

- DISPOSITION: June 15, 1950. Pleas of guilty having been entered, each defendant was fined \$400. Payment of the fine by the individual defendant was suspended upon payment of the \$400 fine by the corporation, plus costs.
- 16475. Adulteration and misbranding of dairy feed. U. S. v. Kentucky Chemical Industries, Inc. (Provico Feeds & Concentrates). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$200. (F. D. C. No. 29108. Sample Nos. 39371-K, 39375-K.)
- INFORMATION FILED: April 27, 1950, Southern District of Indiana, against the Kentucky Chemical Industries, Inc., trading as Provico Feeds & Concentrates, Lawrenceburg, Ind.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 2 and April 29, 1949, from the State of Indiana into the State of Kentucky.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Big V Brand 16% Protein Dairy Feed * * * Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than ____ 16.00 (%) Fat, not less than ____ 3.50 (%)" and "Big Bargain 24% Dairy Feed * * * Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than ____ 24.00 (%) Fat, not less than ____ 3.00 (%)."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a product containing less than 16 percent protein and less than 3.50 percent fat had been substituted for the 16 percent protein dairy feed, and a product containing less than 24 percent protein and less than 3 percent fat had been substituted for the 24 percent dairy feed.
 - Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statements "16% Protein Dairy Feed * * * Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than ____ 16.00 (%) Fat, not less than ____ 3.50 (%)" and "24% Dairy Feed * * * Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than ____ 24.00 (%) Fat, not less than ____ 3.00 (%)" were false and misleading since the products contained less protein and less fat than the labeled amounts.
- DISPOSITION: July 28, 1950. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court fined the defendant \$200.
- 16476. Adulteration and misbranding of animal feed (ground phosphate rock). U. S. v. 650 Bags * * * (F. D. C. No. 29312. Sample No. 80970–K.)

LIBEL FILED: May 12, 1950, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about February 27, 1950, by Ward Steed, from Inverness, Fla.

PRODUCT: 650 100-pound bags of ground phosphate rock at Philadelphia, Pa.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a soft phosphate with colloidal clay containing less than 21.08 percent phosphoric acid and less than 32.33 percent calcium oxide had been substituted for a soft phosphate with colloidal clay containing 21.08 percent phosphoric acid and 32.33 percent cal-